

FEDERAL COURT

(Forms 66 and IR-1)


BETWEEN:

Randall Rudy QUAID & Evgenia Helena Quaid AKA Evi and Randy Quaid
(Insert each Applicant's family name or names, using capital letters in bold font. The given names should be in lower case letters and in regular font.)

and

Minster of Citizenship and Immigration

(Insert the name of the Minister responsible for the administration of the Immigration and Refugee Protection Act in respect of the matter for which leave is being sought.)



Respondent(s)

APPLICATION FOR LEAVE and for JUDICIAL REVIEW

TO THE RESPONDENT(S)

AN APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO COMMENCE AN APPLICATION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW UNDER SUBSECTION 72(1) OF THE *IMMIGRATION AND REFUGEE PROTECTION ACT* has been commenced by the applicant.

UNLESS A JUDGE OTHERWISE DIRECTS, THIS APPLICATION FOR LEAVE will be disposed of without personal appearance by the parties, in accordance with paragraph 72(2) (d) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*.

IF YOU WISH TO OPPOSE THIS APPLICATION FOR LEAVE, you or a solicitor authorized to practice in Canada and acting for you must immediately prepare a Notice of Appearance in Form IR-2 prescribed by the *Federal Courts Immigration and Refugee Protection Rules*, serve it on the tribunal and the applicant's solicitor or, if the applicant does not have a solicitor, serve it on the applicant, and file it, with proof after service, at the Registry, within 10 days after the service of this application for leave.

IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, the Court may nevertheless dispose of this application for leave and, if the leave is granted, of the subsequent application for judicial review without further notice to you.

Note: Copies of the relevant Rules of Court, information on the local office of the Court and other necessary information may be obtained from any local office of the Federal Court or the Registry in Ottawa, telephone: (613) 992-4238.

The applicant seeks leave of the Court to commence an application for judicial review of: *(set out the date and details of the matter – the decision, determination or order made, measure taken or question raised – in respect of which a judicial review is sought)*

of Canada refusing the Applicant's request to re-instate the refugee claims, Client ID [REDACTED] the decision of Baljinder Chahal of the Refugee Protection Division of the Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada refusing to understand the Claims of Evi and Randy Quaid were Not Withdrawn and Protection is still Requested until a hearing can be scheduled and heard and the facts honestly weighed. Nov 21 2012

The decision was communicated to the Applicant on: November 30, 2012
(Insert the date on which the applicant was notified or otherwise became aware of the matter.)

The name of the tribunal / decision maker is:

Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada, Refugee Protection Division

The address and telephone number of the tribunal / decision maker is:

[REDACTED]

The name of each person who was on the tribunal is:

Baljinder Chahal

The tribunal / decision maker's file number(s) is (are):

[REDACTED]

In the event that the leave is granted, the applicant seeks the following relief by way of a judicial review: *(set out the precise relief sought should leave be granted, including any statutory provision or rule relied on)*

[REDACTED]

In the event that the leave is granted, the application for judicial review is to be based on the following grounds: *(set out the grounds to be argued, including a reference to any statutory provision or rule to be relied on)*

1. Information was wrongfully denied the Applicants.
The rationale for Article 1F(b) The decision maker erred in finding that the evidence supports that the Applicants made an informed decision to withdraw their refugee claims. The government bureaucracy in BC and Miller Thomson firm in BC, respectively Silvia Yu and Catherine Sas, had lost most of the data relating to the Claim. The Claim was not withdrawn. The Miller Thomson firm and the government lost the data submitted. (See letter attached dated Dec 3, 2010) As a result Silvia Yu requested /

demanding withdrawal, claiming refugees Randy and Evi Quaid, who are afraid for their lives and had PR Status and citizenship, should withdraw their claim (See Dec. 3, 2010 letter attached) The refugee claim should stay in place as it protects life and limb. No decision so important about 2 peoples' lives should be made based on lost data and misinformation between 3rd parties Silvia Yu and Catherine Sas. Instead, a decision should be made to protect the claimants Evi and Randy Quaid until the claim is heard


2. Evi and Randy Quaid have been subjected to an injustice. Their claim has not been heard. They fear for their lives from real criminals of organized crime and have asked to be protected by Canada until the claim is heard, just like any other refugees.

Incompetent transfer of data is not a justifiable reason to terminate someone's claim. Justice is served when a hearing takes place and the facts behind the refugees' claims are weighed by the board. Organized crime and its victims are serious issues and can not be tossed off until the claim is heard. The refugee claims should remain intact as should Evi and Randy Quaid's heads remain attached to their necks and it is their firm belief that their lives are at stake and being rackateered on. They rely on Canada's protection to remain alive. The Quaid's claim is not Harold and Kumar Go to White Castle. Evi and Randy Quaid's lives are in danger here!

The applicant: has ☐ / has not ☒ received written reasons from the tribunal.

In the event that the leave is granted, the applicant proposes that the application for judicial review be heard at Vancouver (*insert City*), in the English (*English and/or French*) language.

DATED at Ottawa this 12th day of December, 2012


Signature of Applicant

The Applicants' name and address for service in Canada is:



TO: Deputy Attorney General of Canada
Department of Justice
Regional Office

TO: Silvia Yu (registrar) Denise Reid (Minister CBSA Vancouver) Catherine Sas
(Miller Thomson)

Name(s) and address (es) of respondent(s)